



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,768	01/27/2004	Ronald Duane McCallister	2298-010	3629

7590 07/29/2008
Lowell W. Gresham
Meschkow & Gresham, PLC
5727 North Seventh Street
Phoenix, AZ 85014

EXAMINER

BAYARD, EMMANUEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/766,768	Applicant(s) MCCALLISTER, RONALD DUANE	
	Examiner Emmanuel Bayard	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-37, 45-53 and 55-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-37, 45-53 and 55-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to amendment filed on 4/30/08 in which claims 14, 38-44 and 54 are canceled and claims 1-13, 15-37, 45-53 and 55-65 are pending. The applicant's amendments have been fully considered but they are moot based on the new ground of rejection. Therefore this case is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 11-13, 15, 18, 20, 22, 24 29-30, 32-34, 36-37, 45-46, 50, 54, 57-58, 61-65 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rahman et al U.S. Pub No 2003/0174783 A1 in view of Jeckeln et al U.S. Pub no 20020191710 A1.

As per claims 1, 30, and 45 Rahman et al teaches a predistortion circuit for compensating linear distortion introduced by analog-transmitter components of a digital communications transmitter, said predistortion circuit comprising: a source of a complex-forward-data stream configured to digitally convey information (see fig.2 element 86 and page 2 [0020]) within a bandwidth; a digital equalizer section coupled to said complex- forward-data-stream source and configured to generate an equalized-complex-forward-data stream and to pass said equalized-complex-forward-data stream to said analog- transmitter components (see fig.2 elements 90, 88 and page 2 [0020] and page [0024]); a feedback section adapted to receive a feedback signal from said

Art Unit: 2611

analog-transmitter components and configured to provide a complex-return-data stream (see abstract and fig.2 elements 38, 82, 84 and page 2 [0018], [0023]) ; and a controller (see fig.2 element 76 and page 2 [0023] and page 3 [0029] and page 4 [0036]) coupled to said feedback section and to said equalizer section and configured so that said equalizer section (fig.2 elements 38, 82, 84) compensates for frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance introduced by said analog-transmitter components (see page 2 [0018] and page 3 [0027] and page 4 [0034]).

However Rahman does not teach a feedback section comprising a complex-digital-subharmonic sampling down converter adapted to receive a feedback signal from said analog-transmitter components, and configured to provide a complex-return-data stream at greater than or equal to said bandwidth.

Jeckeln teaches a feedback section comprising a complex digital sampling down converter is functionally equivalent to the claimed (complex-digital-subharmonic sampling down converter) (see figs. 1-2, 4a-4b elements 52 and 54 or 58 and 60 combined and page 3 paragraph [0067] and page 4 [0069]) adapted to receive a feedback signal from said analog-transmitter components, and configured to provide a complex-return-data stream at greater than or equal to said bandwidth.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Jeckeln into Rahman as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 2, Rahman et al inherently teaches a predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 wherein said analog-transmitter components include a power amplifier having an input and an output (see page 1 [0005-0006]), and said feedback section comprises: a first analog input (see fig.2 element 52) adapted to receive a first RF-analog signal from said power amplifier input; and a second analog input (see fig.2 element 44) adapted to receive a second RF- analog signal from said power amplifier output. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 11, Rahman et al teaches predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 wherein said equalizer section implements a complex equalizer (see fig.1 element 90 or 88).

As per claims 12, 32, 39 Rahman et al inherently teaches predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 wherein: said complex-forward-data stream exhibits a forward resolution (see fig.2 element 36); and said complex-return-data stream exhibits a return resolution less than said forward resolution (see fig.2 element 38). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 13, 33, 40 Rahman et al inherently teaches predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 12 wherein said feedback section generates said complex-return- data stream so that said return resolution is at most four bits less than said forward resolution. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 15, 34, 36, 42, 57 Rahman et al inherently teaches, predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 additionally comprising a programmable register is the same as the claimed (programmable delay element) coupled between said complex-forward-data-stream source and said feedback section, said programmable delay element being configured to produce a delayed-complex-forward-data stream temporally aligned with said complex-return-data stream (see page 3 [0027] and page 4 [00036] and page 5 [0047]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 16, 37 Rahman et al inherently teaches, a predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 15 wherein: said complex-forward-data stream propagates through said predistortion circuit in response to a clock signal (see fig.2 element 76); and said a programmable register is the same as the claimed (programmable delay element) includes an integral section that delays at least a portion of said complex-forward- data stream by an integral number of cycles of said clock signal and includes a fractional section that delays said portion of said complex-forward-data stream by a fraction of a cycle of said clock signal(see page 3 [0027] and page 4 [00036]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 18, 58 Rahman et al inherently teaches a predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 15 wherein said controller is configured to cause said a programmable register is the same as the claimed (programmable delay element) to temporally align said delayed- complex-forward-data stream with said complex-return-data stream prior to causing said equalizer section to compensate for said frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance introduced by said analog-transmitter components (see page 3 [0027] and page 4 [00036] and page 5 [0047]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital

receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 20, 61 Rahman et al inherently teaches predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 wherein: said analog-transmitter components include a band-pass filter (see fig.2 element 98 or 1000 or 46 or 54) which inserts a band-pass-filter delay; and said predistortion circuit additionally comprises a phase rotator (see page 5 [0042]) configured to rotate one of said complex-forward-data and complex-return-data streams relative to the other to compensate for said band-pass-filter delay. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 22, 62 Rahman et al inherently teaches predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 20 wherein said controller is configured to cause said phase rotator(see page 5 [0042]) to compensate for said band-pass-filter delay prior to causing said equalizer section to compensate for said frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance introduced by said analog-transmitter components. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 24, 65 Rahman et al inherently teaches A predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 1 wherein said equalizer section includes a first equalizer (see fig.2 element 90) configured to filter said complex-forward-data stream and a second equalizer (see fig.2 element 88) configured to filter said complex-return-data stream. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 29, Rahman et al inherently teaches A predistortion circuit as claimed in claim 24 wherein: said analog section includes a power amplifier(see page 1 [0005-0006]), which exhibits a gain; and said predistortion circuit additionally comprises an adjustable attenuation circuit configured to compensate for said gain of said power amplifier and positioned to process said complex-return-data stream before filtering in said second equalizer (see page 1 [0006-0007] and page 2 [0021] and page 3 [0031]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 46, Rahman et al inherently teaches: said quadrature-balancing activity is performed by an equalizer section (see fig.2 element 90 or 88); and said processing activity compensates for frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance introduced by said analog-transmitter components (see abstract).

Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claims 50, Rahman et al inherently teaches wherein said equalizer section includes a first equalizer (see fig.2 element 90) configured to filter said complex-forward-data stream and produce said balanced-complex-forward-data stream and includes a second equalizer (see fig.2 element 88) configured to filter said complex-return-data stream. Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

As per claim 63, Rahman et al inherently teaches method as claimed in claim 45 wherein: said analog-transmitter components include a power amplifier which is driven by a power-amplifier-input signal and which produces a power-amplifier-output signal; said feedback signal is a first feedback signal derived from said power-amplifier-input signal; and said method additionally comprises, after down-converting said first feedback signal, down-converting a second feedback signal derived from said power-

Art Unit: 2611

amplifier-output signal to generate said complex-return-data stream (see fig.2 and page 1 [0005-0006]). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Jeckeln would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to ensure that the IQ modulator correctly distort the RF signal so that the digital receiver could accurately compensate for delay introduced by the Power amplifier as taught by Jeckeln (see Page 4 [0069]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-10, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25-28, 35, 47-49, 51-53, 55-56, 59-60 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al U.S. Pub No 2003/0174783 A1 in view of Jeckeln et al U.S. Pub no 20020191710 A1 and in further view of Sarca U.S. Pub No 2005/0123066 A1.

5. As per claim 3, Rahman and Jeckeln in combination teach all the features of the claimed invention except wherein said controller is configured to compensate for linear distortion in an RF-analog signal present at said input of said power amplifier, and then compensate for linear distortion in an amplified RF signal present at said output of said power amplifier.

6. Sarca teaches predistortion circuit controller configured to compensate for linear distortion in an RF-analog signal present at said input of said power amplifier, then

compensate for linear distortion in an amplified RF signal present at said output of said power amplifier (see figs.4-5 element 7 and page 4 [0061] and page 5 [0069]).

7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Sarca into Rahman and Jeckeln combination as to provide a correction for linear distortions outside the up-conversion chain and determine adjustment needed in accordance with adaptation algorithm as taught by Sarca (see page 5 [0069]).

As per claims 4 and 47-48, Rahman and Jeckeln in combination teach all the features of the claimed invention except wherein said equalizer section comprises: a non-adaptive equalizer configured to be programmed with filter coefficients; and an adaptation engine coupled to said non-adaptive equalizer and configured to implement an estimation-and- convergence algorithm which determines said filter coefficients.

Sarca teaches a non-adaptive equalizer configured to be programmed with filter coefficient (see fig.4 page 5 [0063]; and an adaptation engine (see fig.4 element 74 coupled to said non-adaptive equalizer and configured to implement an estimation-and- convergence algorithm which determines said filter coefficients (see page 4 [0051]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Sarca into Rahman and Jeckeln combination so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claim 5, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach wherein said non-adaptive equalizer processes said complex-forward-data stream, and said adaptation engine is responsive to said complex-forward-data stream and said

complex-return-data stream so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claims 6 and 25, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination in combination would teach: said non-adaptive equalizer is a complex equalizer having an in-phase path, a quadrature path, an in-phase-to-quadrature path, and a quadrature-to-in-phase path; a first set of said filter coefficients is programmed in said in-phase and quadrature paths, and a second set of said filter coefficients is programmed in said in-phase-to-quadrature and quadrature-to-in-phase paths; and said adaptation engine accommodates a partial complex equalizer and has first and second paths, said first and second paths being configured in one mode to determine said filter coefficients for said in-phase and quadrature paths, and being configured in another mode to determine said filter coefficients for said in-phase-to-quadrature and quadrature-to-in-phase paths so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claims 7 and 55, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach wherein said equalizer section implements an estimation-and-convergence algorithm to determine filter coefficients (see Sarca page 4 [0051] and page 5 [0063]) that compensate for said frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claims 8, 10, 49 and 56, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach: said estimation-and-convergence algorithm is responsive to said complex-forward-data stream and to said complex-return- data stream; said complex-forward-data stream and said complex-return- data stream exhibit forward-error and return-error levels, respectively, with said return-error level being greater than said forward-error level (see page 5 [0064]); and said estimation-and-convergence algorithm is configured to transform increased algorithmic processing time into reduced effective-error level for said complex-return-data stream so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claim 9, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach wherein said estimation-and-convergence algorithm causes said equalizer section to converge at said filter coefficients after processing a multiplicity of samples from said complex-return- data stream so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claims 17 and 35 , Rahman and Jeckeln in combination teach all the features of the claimed invention except: said predistortion circuit additionally comprises a correlator having inputs coupled to said programmable delay element and to said feedback section and having an output coupled to said controller; and said controller and said correlator are configured to implement an estimation-and-convergence algorithm to bring said delayed-complex-forward-data stream into temporal alignment with said complex-return-data stream.

Sarca teaches said predistortion circuit additionally comprises a correlator having inputs coupled to said programmable delay element and to said feedback section and having an output coupled to said controller; and said controller and said correlator (see page 3 [0047-0048]) are configured to implement an estimation-and-convergence algorithm to bring said delayed-complex-forward-data stream into temporal alignment with said complex-return-data stream (see page 5 [0067] and page 6 [0074-0075]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Sarca into Rahman and Jeckeln combination as to track the changes in the power amplifier characteristics and preserve the maximum achievable performance in time and with environment variations as taught by Sarca (see page 6 [0077]).

As per claims 19 and 23, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach wherein: said equalizer section comprises an adaptive equalizer configured to determine filter coefficients (see Sarca page 5 [0063]) that compensate for said frequency dependent quadrature gain and phase imbalance; and said adaptive equalizer increases correlation (see Sarca page 3 [0048]) between said delayed-complex-forward-data stream and said complex-return- data stream in determining said filter coefficients so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claim 21, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach wherein said phase rotator (see Rahman page 5 [0042]) is configured to implement an estimation-and-convergence algorithm (see Sarca page 4 [0051] to determine an

amount of phase rotation that compensates for said band-pass-filter delay so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 4, [0051]).

As per claims 26, 27 and 51-52, Rahman and Jeckeln in combination teach all the features of the claimed invention except wherein said controller is configured to cause said feedback section to monitor said first RF-analog signal while adjusting said first equalizer to compensate for linear distortion at said input of said power amplifier, then cause said feedback section to monitor said second RF-analog signal while further adjusting said first equalizer to compensate for linear distortion at said output of said power amplifier.

8. Sarca teaches wherein said controller is configured to cause said feedback section to monitor said first RF-analog signal while adjusting said first equalizer to compensate for linear distortion at said input of said power amplifier, then cause said feedback section to monitor said second RF-analog signal while further adjusting said first equalizer to compensate for linear distortion at said output of said power amplifier (see figs.4-5 element 7 and page 4 [0061] and page 5 [0069]).

9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Sarca into Rahman and Jeckeln combination as to provide a correction for linear distortions outside the up-conversion chain and determine adjustment needed in accordance with adaptation algorithm as taught by Sarca (see page 5 [0069]).

As per claims 28 and 53, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach said first equalizer is adjusted to increase correlation between said second RF-

analog signal and a first signal -84- responsive to said complex-forward-data stream and having a first bandwidth; and said second equalizer is adjusted to increase correlation between said second RF-analog signal and a second signal responsive to said complex-forward-data stream and having a second bandwidth wider than said first bandwidth as to provide a correction for linear distortions outside the up-conversion chain and determine adjustment needed in accordance with adaptation algorithm as taught by Sarca (see page 5 [0069]).

As per claims 59-60, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach additionally comprising forming an error signal by combining said delayed- complex-forward-data stream and said complex-return-data stream so that the adaptive algorithm would become the optimal one and produce a solution in exactly one iteration as taught by Sarca (see page 3 [0045-0048]).

As per claim 64, Rahman, Jeckeln and Sarca in combination would teach: said quadrature-balancing activity is performed by an equalizer; said processing activity generates filter coefficients for said equalizer (see Sarca page 5 [0063]), said filter coefficients serving as said quadrature balance parameters, and said filter coefficients causing said equalizer to compensate for linear distortion introduced by a portion of said analog-transmitter components upstream of a power amplifier; and said processing activity comprises revising said filter coefficients after compensating for said linear distortion introduced by said portion of said analog-transmitter components upstream of said power amplifier to additionally compensate for linear distortion introduced by said power amplifier as to provide a correction for linear distortions outside the up-

conversion chain and determine adjustment needed in accordance with adaptation algorithm as taught by Sarca (see page 5 [0069]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman et al U.S. Pub No 2003/0174783 A1 in view of Jeckeln et al U.S. Pub no 20020191710 A1 and in further view of Rafie et al U.S. Pub no 20030058959.

As per claim 31, Rahman and Jeckeln in combination teach a predistortion circuit additionally comprising: a local-oscillator-input port adapted to receive a local-oscillator signal from said analog-transmitter components, said local-oscillator signal exhibiting a local-oscillator frequency used by said analog-transmitter components for up-conversion (see Rahman fig.2 element 76).

However Rahman and Jeckeln in combination et al do not teach a synthesizer circuit for synthesizing a clock signal exhibiting a frequency equal to said local-oscillator frequency times $2N \pm 1$ divided by four, where N is a positive integer selected to satisfy the Nyquist criteria for a bandwidth within which linear distortion is to be compensated; and -86- wherein said digital-subharmonic-sampling down converter includes an analog-

to-digital converter configured to sample said feedback signal at a rate determined by said clock signal.

Rafie et al teaches a PLL which well known in the art to be functionally equivalent to the claimed (synthesizer circuit) (see fig.11 element 1138) for synthesizing a clock signal (see fig.11 element clock) exhibiting a frequency equal to said local-oscillator frequency times $2N \pm 1$ divided by four, where N is a positive integer selected to satisfy the Nyquist criteria for a bandwidth within which linear distortion is to be compensated; and wherein said digital-subharmonic-sampling down converter includes an analog-to-digital converter (see fig.11 elements 1126 and 1128) configured to sample said feedback signal at a rate determined by said clock signal (see page 8 [0092]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Rafie into Rahman and Jeckeln combination as to pre-compensate the linear amplitude and group delay distortion of the transmitter components as taught by Rafie (see page 8 [0096]).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
12. Gupta et al U.S. Pub No 2003/0179831 A1 teaches a power amplifier.
13. Dartois U.S. Pub no 2003/0156658 A1 teaches a method and apparatus for preparing signals.

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571 272 3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/22/2008

Emmanuel Bayard
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611

/Emmanuel Bayard/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611